# WILLISTON-ELKO MIDDLE 12333 Main Street Williston, SC 29853 5-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 345 Students Dr. Eavon H. Hickson 803-266-3430 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Alexia C. Clamp 803-266-7878 Jason W. Stapleton 803-266-3285 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 3 26 20 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 19 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

1

Z

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Average	Below Average	No

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

94.1%

#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

**Mathematics English/Language Arts** 

**Proficient** 

Our School

**Mathematics** 

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

## **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

**Below Basic** Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Town	1	/ %	/	/ °`	/	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
Englis All Students	sh/Langua 341	ge Arts - \$   100.0	State Perf 35.4	ormance 41.2	Objective 20.3	3.1	32.0	Yes	Yes
Gender	341	100.0	33.4	41.2	20.3	3.1	32.0	165	163
Male	189	100.0	44.0	37.4	17.6	1.1	25.8		
Female	152	100.0	24.5	46.2	23.8	5.6	39.9		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	164	100.0	20.0	43.9	29.7	6.5	46.5	Yes	Yes
African-American	175	100.0	50.0	38.1	11.9	0.0	19.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	263	100.0	21.9	47.8	26.3	4.0	40.9		
Disabled	78	100.0	78.2	20.5	1.3	0.0	3.8	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	341	100.0	35.4	41.2	20.3	3.1	32.0		
English Proficiency	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	1/0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status	341	100.0	35.4	41.2	20.3	3.1	32.0		
Subsidized meals	225	100.0	44.7	43.3	12.0	0.0	20.3	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	114	100.0	16.7	43.3 37.0	37.0	9.3	55.6	168	168
i uli-pay ilicais	1 114	100.0	10.7	37.0	37.0	1 9.5	1 55.6	I	ı I

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	341	100.0	36.9	43.4	12.0	7.7	32.0	Yes	Yes
Gender		100:12	00.1	10.1	12.12		O.E.	1.2.2	
Male	189	100.0	39.6	39.0	13.7	7.7	31.9		
Female	152	100.0	33.6	49.0	9.8	7.7	32.2		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	164	100.0	24.5	46.5	15.5	13.5	45.8	Yes	Yes
African American	175	100.0	48.2	40.5	8.9	2.4	19.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	263	100.0	24.3	50.2	15.8	9.7	41.3		
Disabled	78	100.0	76.9	21.8	0.0	1.3	2.6	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	341	100.0	36.9	43.4	12.0	7.7	32.0		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	341	100.0	36.9	43.4	12.0	7.7	32.0		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	225	100.0	47.9	42.4	7.8	1.8	21.7	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	114	100.0	14.8	45.4	20.4	19.4	52.8	'	

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Timoter Like Inidate									
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	/	
		Englis	sh/Langu	age Arts					
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	90	100.0	40.0	48.2	11.8	N/A	11.8		
Grade 6	77	100.0	30.6	44.4	23.6	1.4	25.0		
Grade 7	77	100.0	30.4	49.3	20.3	N/A	20.3		
Grade 8	72	100.0	26.5	55.9	17.6	N/A	17.6		
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	99	100.0	35.7	43.9	17.3	3.1	20.4		
Grade 6	89	100.0	45.5	33.0	19.3	2.3	21.6		
Grade 7	77	100.0	31.2	36.4	26.0	6.5	32.5		
Grade 8	76	100.0	25.0	56.6	15.8	2.6	18.4		

<b>Mathematics</b>									
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	90	100.0	35.3	55.3	8.2	1.2	9.4		
Grade 6	77	100.0	18.1	44.4	22.2	15.3	37.5		
Grade 7	77	98.7	30.9	51.5	10.3	7.4	17.6		
Grade 8	72	100.0	29.4	51.5	11.8	7.4	19.1		
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	99	100.0	39.8	41.8	12.2	6.1	18.4		
Grade 6	89	100.0	43.2	39.8	12.5	4.5	17.0		
Grade 7	77	100.0	24.7	41.6	18.2	15.6	33.8		
Grade 8	76	100.0	39.5	50.0	5.3	5.3	10.5		

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 345)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	51.3%	Up from 10.9%	13.4%	14.6%
Retention rate	3.6%	N/A	3.9%	3.0%
Attendance rate	95.9%	Up from 94.9%	95.5%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	4.4%		6.8%	5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	5.3%		6.2%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	15.1%	Down from 16.6%	12.8%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	21.9%	Up from 21.0%	14.7%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	6.1%	Down from 9.6%	5.4%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.6%	Down from 3.9%	1.2%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 23)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	21.7%	Up from 18.2%	48.5%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	78.3%	Up from 63.6%	81.1%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers**	95.0%	N/A	91.3%	90.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	9.5%		5.0%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.3%	Up from 78.4%	83.6%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	92.5%	Down from 93.2%	94.9%	94.8%
Average teacher salary	\$34,940	Up 5.5%	\$39,168	\$40,566
Prof. development days/teacher	15.0 days	Up from 12.8 days	10.3 days	11.0 days
School	4.0	D ( 00	4.0	0.0
Principal's years at school	1.0 16.7 to 1	Down from 2.0 Down from 20.9 to 1	4.0 20.9 to 1	3.3 21.3 to 1
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	86.3%	Down from 86.6%	89.1%	89.3%
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	\$4,496	Down 12.2%	\$5,562	\$5,821
Percent of expenditures for teacher	68.7%	Up from 65.7%	62.1%	61.8%
salaries* Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
• •	98.0%	Down from 99.0%	95.0%	95.0%
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	No	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Average	Good
Politica Production in the Control of the Control o		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		N/A		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	N/A		.1%
10.11 10.14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		State Objectiv		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	•	65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		es
**NOTE: The verification process was not completed	I for the year ren	orted: therefore the count of h	inhly qualified teachers r	nay not be accura

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Our school year has been filled with adventure and exhilarating challenges. Nonetheless, we continued to embrace high academic standards and provide our students with the best possible education. Each student was encouraged to achieve his/her highest goal. Therefore, as educators, we will ensure that each student is provided an opportunity to reach his/her potential. Academic excellence is our primary focus. We strive toward achieving our mission of developing caring and productive citizens by providing our students with experiences that are challenging and enriching.

Our community service projects were cultivated through our raising monies for the following organizations: March of Dimes, Pennies for Patients through the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, American Cancer Society, Secret Santa, and UNICEF.

We are a proud community-school family who work together to pursue wisdom and acquire understanding. Your continued support is requested and sincerely appreciated as we continue our journey to enhance the lives of our students. All stakeholders must assume responsibility for the improvement of the academic accomplishments of our students. Students must devote more time to their studies, and parents must encourage their children to excel.

Mrs. Virginia Smith, SIC

Eavon H. Hickson, Ed.D., Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	20	73	46					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	95.0%	83.3%	89.1%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	84.7%	78.3%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	30.0%	80.3%	62.2%					

\*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.